

Interview Summary	Application No. 08/653,294	Applicant(s) Clayberger et al.
	Examiner Thomas Cunningham	Group Art Unit 1644

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Cunningham

(3) _____

(2) Tom Mays

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 13, 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Reviewed rejections of record. Discussed possible ways to address prior art rejections by distinguishing claimed amino acid sequences which must comprise residues 79-84 from those of the cited prior art which appear to be primarily directed to residues 61-85. Examiner to consider whether claims directed to dimers would help distinguish over the cited prior art. Examiner agreed that prior art rejections did not apply to alpha-beta, beta-beta or beta-alpha dimers because the prior art does not teach the reverse 84-79 sequence.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

TC

THOMAS CUNNINGHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1644

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.